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#### Abstract

The Large Deviations Principle (LDP) is verified for a homogeneous diffusion process with respect to a Brownian motion $B_{t}$, $$
X_{t}^{\varepsilon}=x_{0}+\int_{0}^{t} b\left(X_{s}^{\varepsilon}\right) d s+\varepsilon \int_{0}^{t} \sigma\left(X_{s}^{\varepsilon}\right) d B_{s}
$$ where $b(x)$ and $\sigma(x)$ are locally Lipschitz functions with super linear growth. We assume that the drift is directed towards the origin and the growth rates of the drift and diffusion terms are properly balanced. Nonsingularity of $a=\sigma \sigma^{*}(x)$ is not required.


## 1. Introduction

In this paper we extend the set of conditions, under which FreidlinWentzell's Large Deviation Principle (LDP) for a homogeneous diffusion process remains valid. We consider a family $\left\{\left(X_{t}^{\varepsilon}\right)_{t \geq 0}\right\}_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0}$ of diffusions, where $X_{t}^{\varepsilon} \in \mathbb{R}^{d}, d \geq 1$ is defined by the Itô equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
X_{t}^{\varepsilon}=x_{0}+\int_{0}^{t} b\left(X_{s}^{\varepsilon}\right) d s+\varepsilon \int_{0}^{t} \sigma\left(X_{s}^{\varepsilon}\right) d B_{s} \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

with respect to a standard Brownian motion $B_{t}$, where $b(x)$ and $\sigma(x)$ are vector and matrix valued continuous functions of dimensions $d$ and $d \times d$ respectively, guaranteeing existence of the unique weak solution.

The classical Freidlin-Wentzell setting [8] (see also Dembo and Zeitouni, [4]) is applicable to the model (1.1) with bounded $b(x)$ and $\sigma(x)$ and uniformly positive definite diffusion matrix $a(x)=\sigma \sigma^{*}(x)$. Various LDP versions can be found in Dupuis and Ellis [5], Feng [6], Feng and Kurtz [7], Friedman [9], Liptser and Pukhalskii [12], Mikami [15], Narita [16], Stroock [23], Ren and Zhang [22]. In the recent paper [19], Puhalskii extends LDP to (1.1) with continuous and unbounded coefficients and singular $a(x)$, assuming $b(x)$ and $a(x)$ are Lipschitz continuous functions (concerning singular $\sigma(x)$ see also Liptser et al, [14]). Being Lipschitz continuous, the entries of $b, \sigma$ grow not faster than linearly and, thereby, automatically guarantee one of the necessary conditions for LDP $\left(\|\cdot\|\right.$ denotes the Euclidean norm in $\left.\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{C \rightarrow \infty} \varlimsup_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \varepsilon^{2} \log \mathrm{P}\left(\sup _{t \leq T}\left\|X_{t}^{\varepsilon}\right\|>C\right)=-\infty, \forall T>0 \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Relinquishing the linear growth condition for $b, \sigma$ would require additional assumptions providing (1.2).

This paper is inspired by Puhalskii's remark in [19]:

If the drift is directed towards the origin, then no restrictions are needed on the growth rate of the drift coefficient.
In particular, in this case the LDP holds, regardless of the growth rate of $b(x)$, for a constant diffusion matrix (not necessarily nonsingular).

In this paper, we show that in fact LDP remains valid for (1.1) with nonconstant diffusion term, if its growth rate is properly balanced relatively to the drift (see (H-3) of Theorem 2.1 below). Our result is formulated in terms of Khasminskii-Veretennikov's condition (H-2) (see [11] and [17], [18])

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. In Sections 2 and 3, the main result, notations and preliminary facts on the LDP are given. Sections 4-6 contain the proof of the main result. Auxiliary technical details are gathered in Appendices A - C.

## 2. Notations and the main result

The following notations and conventions are used through the paper.

-     * denotes the transposition symbol
- all vectors are columns (unless explicitly stated otherwise)
- $|x|$ and $\|x\|$ denote the $\ell_{1}$ and $\ell_{2}$ (Euclidian) norms of $x \in \mathbb{R}^{d}$
- $(x, y)$ denotes the scalar product of $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^{d}$
- $\|x\|_{\Gamma}^{2}=(x, \Gamma x)$ with an nonnegative definite matrix $\Gamma$
- $a(x)=\sigma(x) \sigma^{*}(x)$
- $a^{\oplus}(x)$ denotes the Moore-Penrose pseudoinverse matrix of $a(x)$ (see [1])
- $\nabla V(x)$ is the gradient (row) vector of $V(x)$ :

$$
\nabla V(x):=\left(\frac{\partial V(x)}{\partial x_{1}}, \ldots, \frac{\partial V(x)}{\partial x_{d}}\right)
$$

- $\langle M, N\rangle_{t}$ is the joint quadratic variation process of continuous martingales $M_{t}$ and $N_{t}$; for brevity $\langle M, M\rangle_{t}=\langle M\rangle_{t}$
- a.s. abbreviates "almost surely"; when the corresponding measure is not specified the Lebesgue measure on $\mathbb{R}_{+}$is understood
- $\varrho$ is the locally uniform metric on $\mathbb{C}_{[0, \infty)}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$
- I denotes $d \times d$ identity matrix
- the convention $0 / 0=0$ is kept throughout
- $X^{\varepsilon}=\left(X_{t}^{\varepsilon}\right)_{t \geq 0}$
$-\inf \{\varnothing\}=\infty$.
We study the LDP for the family $\left\{X^{\varepsilon}\right\}_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0}$ in the metric space $\left(\mathbb{C}_{[0, \infty)}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right), \varrho\right)$ with $\varrho(x, y)=\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} 2^{-k}\left(1 \vee \sup _{t \leq k}\left\|x_{t}-y_{t}\right\|\right), x, y \in \mathbb{C}_{[0, \infty)}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$. Recall that $\left\{X^{\varepsilon}\right\}_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0}$ satisfies the LDP with the good rate function $J(u): \mathbb{C}_{[0, \infty)}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right) \mapsto$ $[0, \infty]$ and the rate $\varepsilon^{2}$, if the level sets of $J(u)$ are compact and for any closed set $F$ and open set $G$ in $\mathbb{C}_{[0, \infty)}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \varlimsup_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \varepsilon^{2} \log \mathrm{P}\left(X^{\varepsilon} \in F\right) \leq-\inf _{u \in F} J(u) \\
& \varliminf_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \varepsilon^{2} \log \mathrm{P}\left(X^{\varepsilon} \in G\right) \geq-\inf _{u \in G} J(u)
\end{aligned}
$$

Our main result is

Theorem 2.1. Assume:
(H-1) the entries of $b(x)$ and $\sigma(x)$ are locally Lipschitz continuous functions,
(H-2) $\lim _{\|x\| \rightarrow \infty} \frac{(x, b(x))}{\|x\|}=-\infty$,
(H-3) for some positive constants $K$ and $L, \frac{(x, a(x) x)}{\|x\||(x, b(x))|} \leq K, \forall\|x\|>$ $L$.
Then $\left\{X_{t}^{\varepsilon}\right\}_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0}$ obeys the LDP in the metric space $\left(\mathbb{C}_{[0, \infty)}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right), \varrho\right)$ with the rate $\varepsilon^{2}$ and the rate function

$$
J(u)= \begin{cases}\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{\infty}\left\|\dot{u}_{t}-b\left(u_{t}\right)\right\|_{a^{\oplus}\left(u_{t}\right)}^{2} d t, & u \in \Gamma \\ \infty, & u \notin \Gamma,\end{cases}
$$

where

$$
\Gamma=\left\{u \in \mathbb{C}_{[0, \infty)}: \begin{array}{c}
u_{0}=x_{0}, d u_{t} \ll d t, \int_{0}^{\infty}\left\|\dot{u}_{t}\right\|^{2} d t<\infty \\
a\left(u_{t}\right) a^{\oplus}\left(u_{t}\right)\left[\dot{u}_{t}-b\left(u_{t}\right)\right]=\left[\dot{u}_{t}-b\left(u_{t}\right)\right] a . s .
\end{array}\right\} .
$$

Remark 2.1. In the scalar case (recall $0 / 0=0$ )

$$
J(u)= \begin{cases}\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{\left(\dot{u}_{t}-b\left(u_{t}\right)\right)^{2}}{\sigma^{2}\left(u_{t}\right)} d t, & d u_{t}=\dot{u}_{t} d t, u_{0}=x_{0}, \int_{0}^{\infty} \dot{u}_{t}^{2} d t<\infty \\ \infty, & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

Example 2.1. A typical example within the scope of Theorem 2.1 is

$$
X_{t}^{\varepsilon}=x_{0}-\int_{0}^{t}\left(X_{s}^{\varepsilon}\right)^{3} d s+\varepsilon \int_{0}^{t}\left|X_{s}^{\varepsilon}\right|^{3 / 2} d B_{s} .
$$

## 3. Preliminaries

We follow the framework, set up by A.Puhalskii (see [20], [21]):

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
\text { Exponential tightness } \\
\text { Local LDP }
\end{array}\right\} \Longleftrightarrow \text { LDP }
$$

The exponential tightness in the metric space $\left(\mathbb{C}_{[0, \infty)}, \varrho\right)$ is convenient to verify in terms of, so called, $\mathbb{C}$-exponential tightness conditions introduced by A.Puhalskii (see e.g. [12]), based on the stopping times technique introduced by D.Aldous in [2], [3]). To this end, let us assume that the diffusion processes are defined on a stochastic basis $\left(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbf{F}^{\varepsilon}=\left(\mathscr{F}_{t}^{\varepsilon}\right)_{t \geq 0}, \mathrm{P}\right)$, satisfying the usual conditions, where the filtration $\mathbf{F}^{\varepsilon}$ may depend on $\varepsilon$.
Recall (see [12]) that the family of diffusion processes is $\mathbb{C}$-exponentially tight if for any $T>0, \eta>0$ and any $\mathbf{F}^{\varepsilon}$-stopping time $\theta$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \lim _{C \rightarrow \infty} \varlimsup_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \varepsilon^{2} \log \mathrm{P}\left(\sup _{t \leq T}\left\|X_{t}^{\varepsilon}\right\|>C\right)=-\infty  \tag{3.1}\\
& \lim _{\Delta \rightarrow 0} \varlimsup_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \varepsilon^{2} \log \sup _{\theta \leq T} \mathrm{P}\left(\sup _{t \leq \Delta}\left\|X_{\theta+t}^{\varepsilon}-X_{\theta}^{\varepsilon}\right\|>\eta\right)=-\infty . \tag{3.2}
\end{align*}
$$

The family of diffusion processes obeys the local LDP in $\left(\mathbb{C}_{[0, \infty)}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right), \varrho\right)$ if for any $T>0$ there exists a local rate function $J_{T}(u)$ such that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \varlimsup_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \varlimsup_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \varepsilon^{2} \log \mathrm{P}\left(\sup _{t \leq T}\left\|X_{t}^{\varepsilon}-u_{t}\right\| \leq \delta\right) \leq-J_{T}(u)  \tag{3.3}\\
& \varliminf_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \varepsilon^{2} \log \mathrm{P}\left(\sup _{t \leq T}\left\|X_{t}^{\varepsilon}-u_{t}\right\| \leq \delta\right) \geq-J_{T}(u) . \tag{3.4}
\end{align*}
$$

Under the conditions (3.1)-(3.4), the family of diffusion processes obeys the LDP with the rate $\varepsilon^{2}$ and the good rate function

$$
J(u)=\sup _{T} J_{T}(u), u \in \mathbb{C}_{[0, \infty)}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right),
$$

where

$$
J_{T}(u)= \begin{cases}\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{T}\left\|\dot{u}_{t}-b\left(u_{t}\right)\right\|_{a^{\oplus}\left(u_{t}\right)}^{2} d t, & u \in \Gamma_{T} \\ \infty, & u \notin \Gamma_{T}\end{cases}
$$

with

$$
\Gamma_{T}=\left\{u \in \mathbb{C}_{[0, T]}: \begin{array}{c}
u_{0}=x_{0}, d u_{t} \ll d t, \int_{0}^{T}\left\|\dot{u}_{t}\right\|^{2} d t<\infty \\
a\left(u_{t}\right) a^{\oplus}\left(u_{t}\right)\left[\dot{u}_{t}-b\left(u_{t}\right)\right]=\left[\dot{u}_{t}-b\left(u_{t}\right)\right] \text { a.s. }
\end{array}\right\} .
$$

Thus the proof of Theorem 2.1 reduces to establishing (3.1) - (3.4).

## 4. The proof of $\mathbb{C}$-exponential tightness

4.1. Auxiliary lemma. Let $\mathfrak{D}$ be a nonlinear operator acting on continuously differentiable functions $V(x): \mathbb{R}^{d} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ as follows:

$$
\mathfrak{D} V(x)=(\nabla V(x), b(x))+\frac{1}{2}(\nabla V(x), a(x) \nabla V(x)) .
$$

Lemma 4.1. Assume there exists twice continuously differentiable nonnegative function $V(x)$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { (a-1) } \lim _{C \rightarrow \infty} \inf _{\|x\| \geq C} V(x)=\infty \\
& \text { (a-2) for some } L>0, \mathfrak{D} V(x) \leq 0, \forall\|x\|>L \text {. }
\end{aligned}
$$

Then (3.1) holds.
Proof. Notice that (3.1) is equivalent to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{C \rightarrow \infty} \varlimsup_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \varepsilon^{2} \log \mathrm{P}\left(\Theta_{C} \leq T\right)=-\infty, \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Theta_{C}=\inf \left\{t:\left\|X_{t}^{\varepsilon}\right\| \geq C\right\}, \quad C>0 \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

are stopping times relative to $\mathbf{F}^{\varepsilon}$.
We use (a) of Proposition A. 1 to estimate $\log \mathrm{P}\left(\Theta_{C} \leq T\right)$. An appropriate martingale $M_{t}^{\varepsilon}$ is constructed with the help of function $V(x)$. Let $\Psi(x)$ be the Hessian of $V$, namely a matrix with the entries $V_{i j}(x)=\frac{\partial^{2} V(x)}{\partial x_{i} \partial x_{j}}$. By the Itô formula

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \varepsilon^{-2} V\left(X_{\theta_{C} \wedge t}^{\varepsilon}\right)=\varepsilon^{-2} V\left(x_{0}\right)+\int_{0}^{\Theta_{C} \wedge t} \varepsilon^{-2}\left(\nabla V\left(X_{s}^{\varepsilon}\right), b\left(X_{s}^{\varepsilon}\right)\right) d s \\
& \quad+\int_{0}^{\Theta_{C} \wedge t} \varepsilon^{-1}\left(\nabla V\left(X_{s}^{\varepsilon}\right), \sigma\left(X_{s}^{\varepsilon}\right) d B_{s}\right)+\int_{0}^{\Theta_{C} \wedge t} \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{trace}\left(\Psi\left(X_{s}^{\varepsilon}\right) a\left(X_{s}^{\varepsilon}\right)\right) d s .
\end{aligned}
$$

We choose $M_{t}^{\varepsilon}=\int_{0}^{t} \varepsilon^{-1}\left(\nabla V\left(X_{s}^{\varepsilon}\right), \sigma\left(X_{s}^{\varepsilon}\right) d B_{s}\right)$, which has the variation pro$\operatorname{cess}\left\langle M^{\varepsilon}\right\rangle_{t}=\int_{0}^{t} \varepsilon^{-2}\left(\nabla V\left(X_{s}^{\varepsilon}\right), a\left(X_{s}^{\varepsilon}\right) \nabla V\left(X_{s}^{\varepsilon}\right)\right) d s$. Clearly

$$
\begin{aligned}
& M_{\Theta_{C}^{\beta} \wedge t}^{\varepsilon}=\varepsilon^{-2} V\left(X_{\Theta_{C} \wedge t}^{\varepsilon}\right)-\varepsilon^{-2} V\left(x_{0}\right) \\
& \quad-\int_{0}^{\Theta_{C} \wedge t} \varepsilon^{-2}\left(\nabla V\left(X_{s}^{\varepsilon}\right), b\left(X_{s}^{\varepsilon}\right)\right) d s-\int_{0}^{\Theta_{C} \wedge t} \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{trace}\left(\Psi\left(X_{s}^{\varepsilon}\right) a\left(X_{s}^{\varepsilon}\right)\right) d s
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, by the definition of $\mathfrak{D}$, one gets

$$
\begin{align*}
& M_{\Theta_{C} \wedge T}^{\varepsilon}-\frac{1}{2}\left\langle M^{\varepsilon}\right\rangle_{\Theta_{C} \wedge T}=\varepsilon^{-2} V\left(X_{\Theta_{C} \wedge T}^{\varepsilon}\right)-\varepsilon^{-2} V\left(x_{0}\right) \\
& \quad-\int_{0}^{\Theta_{C} \wedge T} \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{trace}\left(\Psi\left(X_{s}^{\varepsilon}\right) a\left(X_{s}^{\varepsilon}\right)\right) d s \quad-\int_{0}^{\Theta_{C} \wedge T} \varepsilon^{-2} \mathfrak{D} V\left(X_{s}^{\varepsilon}\right) d s \tag{4.3}
\end{align*}
$$

On the set $\left\{\Theta_{C} \leq T\right\}$, we have

$$
\varepsilon^{-2} V\left(X_{\Theta_{C} \wedge T}^{\varepsilon}\right)-\varepsilon^{-2} V\left(x_{0}\right) \geq \varepsilon^{-2} \inf _{\|x\| \geq C} V(x)-\varepsilon^{-2} V\left(x_{0}\right)
$$

and

$$
\left|\int_{0}^{\Theta_{C} \wedge T} \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{trace}\left(\Psi\left(X_{s}^{\varepsilon}\right) a\left(X_{s}^{\varepsilon}\right)\right) d s\right| \leq \frac{T}{2} \sup _{\|x\| \leq C}|\operatorname{trace}(\Psi(x) a(x))|
$$

and, by (a-2),

$$
\begin{aligned}
-\int_{0}^{\Theta \wedge T} & \varepsilon^{-2} \mathfrak{D} V\left(X_{s}^{\varepsilon}\right) d s \\
& \geq-\left|\int_{0}^{\Theta_{C} \wedge T} \varepsilon^{-2} I_{\left\{\left\|X_{s}^{\varepsilon}\right\| \leq L\right\}} \mathfrak{D} V\left(X_{s}^{\varepsilon}\right) d s\right| \geq-\varepsilon^{2} T \sup _{\|x\| \leq L}|\mathfrak{D} V(x)|
\end{aligned}
$$

These inequalities and (4.3) imply

$$
\begin{aligned}
M_{\Theta_{C}}^{\varepsilon}-\frac{1}{2}\left\langle M^{\varepsilon}\right\rangle_{\Theta_{C}} \geq & \varepsilon^{-2} \inf _{\|x\| \geq C} V(x)-\varepsilon^{-2} V\left(x_{0}\right) \\
& -\frac{T}{2} \sup _{\|x\| \leq C}|\operatorname{trace}(\Psi(x) a(x))|-\varepsilon^{-2} T \sup _{\|x\| \leq L}|\mathfrak{D} V(x)|
\end{aligned}
$$

on the set $\left\{\Theta_{C} \leq T\right\}$. Hence, due to (a) of Proposition A. 1

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \varepsilon^{2} \log \mathrm{P}\left(\Theta_{C} \leq T\right) \leq \\
& -\inf _{\|x\| \geq C} V(x)+V\left(x_{0}\right)+\frac{T \varepsilon^{2}}{2} \sup _{\|x\| \leq C}|\operatorname{trace}(\Psi(x) a(x))|+T \sup _{\|x\| \leq L}|\mathfrak{D} V(x)| \\
& \\
& \underset{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0}{\longrightarrow}-\inf _{\|x\| \geq C} V(x)+V\left(x_{0}\right)+T \sup _{\|x\| \leq L} \mid \mathfrak{D V ( x ) |}
\end{aligned}
$$

and it is left to recall that by $(\mathrm{a}-1) \lim _{C \rightarrow \infty} \inf _{\|x\| \geq C} V(x)=\infty$.
4.2. The proof of (3.1). We apply Lemma 4.1 to

$$
V(x)=\frac{c\|x\|^{2}}{1+\|x\|}
$$

with a positive parameter $c \leq \frac{1}{K}$ for $K$ from (H-3) of Theorem 2.1. The function $V(x)$ is twice continuously differentiable and satisfies (a-1). It is left to show that $V(x)$ satisfies (a-2) as well.

Direct computations give $\nabla V(x)=c \frac{(2+\|x\|)\|x\|}{(1+\|x\|)^{2}} \frac{x}{\|x\|}$. Denote

$$
r(x):=\frac{(2+\|x\|)\|x\|}{(1+\|x\|)^{2}}
$$

and notice that $r(x) \leq 1$. By assumption (H-2) of Theorem 2.1, one can choose $L>0$ sufficiently large so that $(x, b(x))<0$ for any $\|x\| \geq L$. On the other hand, by assumption (H-3) of Theorem 2.1, $-1+\frac{c}{2} \frac{(x, a(x) x)}{\|x\||(x, b(x))|} \leq-\frac{1}{2}$ for $\|x\| \geq L$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathfrak{D} V(x) & =\left(c \frac{r(x)}{\|x\|}(x, b(x))+\frac{c^{2} r^{2}(x)}{2} \frac{(x, a(x) x)}{\|x\|^{2}}\right) \\
& =\left(-c \frac{r(x)}{\|x\|}|(x, b(x))|+\frac{c^{2} r^{2}(x)}{2} \frac{(x, a(x) x)}{\|x\|^{2}}\right) \\
& =\operatorname{cr}(x) \frac{|(x, b(x))|}{\|x\|}\left(-1+\frac{c}{2} r(x) \frac{(x, a(x) x)}{\|x\||(x, b(x))|}\right) \\
& \leq \operatorname{cr}(x) \frac{|(x, b(x))|}{\|x\|}\left(-1+\frac{c}{2} \frac{(x, a(x) x)}{\|x\||(x, b(x))|}\right) \\
& \leq-\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{cr}(x) \frac{|(x, b(x))|}{\|x\|}
\end{aligned}
$$

and (a-2) follows.
4.3. The proof of (3.2). The obvious inclusion

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\{\sup _{t \leq \triangle}\left\|X_{\theta+t}^{\varepsilon}-X_{\theta}^{\varepsilon}\right\|\right. & >\eta\} \\
& \subseteq\left\{\sup _{t \leq \triangle}\left\|X_{\theta+t}^{\varepsilon}-X_{\theta}^{\varepsilon}\right\|>\eta, \Theta_{C}=\infty\right\} \bigcup\left\{\Theta_{C} \leq T\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

reduces the proof to verifying

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varlimsup_{\triangle \rightarrow 0} \varlimsup_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \varepsilon^{2} \log \sup _{\theta \leq T} \mathrm{P}\left(\sup _{t \leq \triangle}\left\|X_{\theta+t}^{\varepsilon}-X_{\theta}^{\varepsilon}\right\|>\eta, \Theta_{C}=\infty\right)=-\infty \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any fixed $C$. Indeed if (4.4) holds, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \lim _{\triangle \rightarrow 0} \varlimsup_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \varepsilon^{2} \log \sup _{\theta \leq T} \mathrm{P}\left(\sup _{t \leq \triangle}\left\|X_{\theta+t}^{\varepsilon}-X_{\theta}^{\varepsilon}\right\|>\eta\right) \\
& \leq \lim _{\triangle \rightarrow 0} \varlimsup_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \varepsilon^{2} \log \sup _{\theta \leq T} \mathrm{P}\left(\sup _{t \leq \triangle}\left\|X_{\theta+t}^{\varepsilon}-X_{\theta}^{\varepsilon}\right\|>\eta, \Theta_{C}=\infty\right) \\
& \\
& \bigvee \varlimsup_{C \rightarrow \infty} \varlimsup_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \varepsilon^{2} \log \mathrm{P}\left(\Theta_{C} \leq T\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

and, thus, (3.2) is implied by (4.4) and (4.1). So, it is left to check (4.4) for any entry $x_{t}^{\varepsilon}$ of $X_{t}^{\varepsilon}$ :

$$
\lim _{\triangle \rightarrow 0} \varlimsup_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \varepsilon^{2} \log \sup _{\theta \leq T} \mathrm{P}\left(\sup _{t \leq \triangle}\left|x_{\theta+t}^{\varepsilon}-x_{\theta}^{\varepsilon}\right|>\eta, \Theta_{C}=\infty\right)=-\infty
$$

An entry of $X_{t}^{\varepsilon}$ satisfies

$$
x_{t}^{\varepsilon}=x_{0}^{\varepsilon}+\int_{0}^{t} \gamma_{s}^{\varepsilon} d s+\varepsilon m_{t}^{\varepsilon}
$$

where $\gamma_{t}^{\varepsilon}$ is $\mathbf{F}^{\varepsilon}$-adapted continuous random process and $m_{t}$ is $\mathbf{F}^{\varepsilon}$-continuous martingale with $\left\langle m^{\varepsilon}\right\rangle_{t}=\int_{0}^{t} \mu_{s}^{\varepsilon} d s$. Since $b$ and $\sigma$ are locally Lipschitz continuous functions, there is a constant $l_{C}$, such that $\left|\gamma_{\Theta_{C} \wedge t}^{\varepsilon}\right| \leq l_{C}$ and $\mu_{\Theta_{C} \wedge t}^{\varepsilon} \leq l_{C}$. Taking into account that

$$
\left\{\sup _{t \leq \triangle}\left|\int_{\theta}^{\theta+t} \gamma_{s}^{\varepsilon} d s\right| \geq \eta, \Theta_{C}=\infty\right\} \subseteq\left\{l_{C} \triangle \geq \eta\right\}=\varnothing, \text { for } \triangle<\eta / l_{C}
$$

it is left to verify

$$
\lim _{\triangle \rightarrow 0} \varlimsup_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \varepsilon^{2} \log \sup _{\theta \leq T} \mathrm{P}\left(\sup _{t \leq \triangle}\left|\varepsilon m_{\theta+t}^{\varepsilon}-\varepsilon m_{\theta}^{\varepsilon}\right|>\eta, \Theta_{C}=\infty\right)=-\infty
$$

Due to the obvious inclusion

$$
\left.\begin{array}{rl}
\left\{\sup _{t \leq \triangle}\left|\varepsilon m_{\theta+t}^{\varepsilon}-\varepsilon m_{\theta}^{\varepsilon}\right|>\eta,\right. & \left.\Theta_{C}=\infty\right\}
\end{array}\right)=\left\{\begin{aligned}
&\left\{\sup _{t \leq \triangle}\left|\varepsilon m_{\Theta_{C} \wedge(\theta+t)}^{\varepsilon}-\varepsilon m_{\Theta_{C} \wedge \theta}^{\varepsilon}\right|>\eta, \Theta_{C}=\infty\right\} \\
& \subseteq\left\{\sup _{t \leq \triangle}\left|\varepsilon m_{\Theta_{C} \wedge(\theta+t)}^{\varepsilon}-\varepsilon m_{\Theta_{C} \wedge \theta}^{\varepsilon}\right|>\eta\right\}
\end{aligned}\right.
$$

we shall verify

$$
\varlimsup_{\triangle \rightarrow 0} \varlimsup_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \varepsilon^{2} \log \sup _{\theta \leq T} \mathrm{P}\left(\sup _{t \leq \triangle}\left|\varepsilon m_{\Theta_{C} \wedge(\theta+t)}^{\varepsilon}-\varepsilon m_{\Theta_{C} \wedge \theta}^{\varepsilon}\right|>\eta\right)=-\infty
$$

Notice that $n_{t}^{\varepsilon}:=\varepsilon m_{\Theta_{C} \wedge(\theta+t)}^{\varepsilon}-\varepsilon m_{\Theta_{C} \wedge \theta}^{\varepsilon}$ is a continuous martingale relative to $\left(\mathscr{F}_{\Theta_{C} \wedge \theta+t}^{\varepsilon}\right)_{t \geq 0}$ (see e.g. Ch. 4, §7 in [13]) with $\left\langle n^{\varepsilon}\right\rangle_{t}=\varepsilon^{2} \int_{\Theta_{C} \wedge \theta}^{\Theta_{C} \wedge(\theta+t)} \mu_{s}^{\varepsilon} d s \leq$ $\varepsilon^{2} l_{C} t$. By the statement (d) of Proposition A.1, $\mathrm{P}\left(\sup _{t \leq \Delta}\left|n_{t}^{\varepsilon}\right| \geq \eta\right) \leq$ $2 e^{-\eta^{2} /\left(2 l_{C} \varepsilon^{2} \triangle\right)}$, so that $\varlimsup_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \varepsilon^{2} \log \mathrm{P}\left(\sup _{t \leq \Delta}\left|n_{t}^{\varepsilon}\right| \geq \eta\right) \leq-\frac{\eta^{2}}{2 l_{C} \triangle} \xrightarrow[\triangle \rightarrow 0]{ }$ $-\infty$.

## 5. Local LDP upper bound

We start with the observation that (3.3) holds if for any $T>0$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varlimsup_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \varlimsup_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \varepsilon^{2} \log \mathrm{P}\left(\sup _{t \leq T}\left\|X_{t}^{\varepsilon}-u_{t}\right\| \leq \delta, \Theta_{C}=\infty\right) \leq-J_{T}(u) \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

since by the inclusion

$$
\left\{\sup _{t \leq T}\left\|X_{t}^{\varepsilon}-u_{t}\right\| \leq \delta\right\} \subseteq\left\{\sup _{t \leq T}\left\|X_{t}^{\varepsilon}-u_{t}\right\| \leq \delta, \Theta_{C}=\infty\right\} \bigcup\left\{\Theta_{C} \leq T\right\}
$$

we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \varlimsup_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \varlimsup_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \varepsilon^{2} \log \mathrm{P}\left(\sup _{t \leq T}\left\|X_{t}^{\varepsilon}-u_{t}\right\| \leq \delta\right) \\
& \leq \varlimsup_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \varlimsup_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \varepsilon^{2} \log \mathrm{P}\left(\sup _{t \leq T}\left\|X_{t}^{\varepsilon}-u_{t}\right\| \leq \delta, \Theta_{C}=\infty\right) \bigvee_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \varepsilon^{2} \log \mathrm{P}\left(\Theta_{C} \leq T\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

and, by (4.1), the last term goes to $-\infty$ as $C \rightarrow \infty$.
The proof for $u_{0} \neq x_{0}$ or $d u_{t} \nless d t$ is standard (see e.g. [4]) and is omitted. The rest of the proof is split into several steps.
5.1. $u_{0}=x_{0}, d u_{t} \ll d t, \int_{0}^{T}\left\|\dot{u}_{s}\right\|^{2} d s<\infty$. Define the set

$$
\mathfrak{A}=\left\{\sup _{t \leq T}\left\|X_{t}^{\varepsilon}-u_{t}\right\| \leq \delta, \Theta_{C}=\infty\right\} .
$$

With a continuously differentiable vector-valued function $\lambda(s)$ of dimension $d$, let us introduce a continuous local martingale $U_{t}=\int_{0}^{t}\left(\lambda(s), \varepsilon \sigma\left(X_{s}^{\varepsilon}\right) d B_{s}\right)$ and its martingale exponential $\mathfrak{z}_{t}=e^{U_{t}-0.5\langle U\rangle_{t}}$, where

$$
\langle U\rangle_{t}=\int_{0}^{t} \varepsilon^{2}\left(\lambda(s), a\left(X_{s}^{\varepsilon}\right) \lambda(s)\right) d s .
$$

It is well known that $\mathfrak{z}_{t}$ is a continuous positive local martingale, as well as a supermartingale. Consequently, $\mathrm{E}_{\mathfrak{Z} T} \leq 1$ and, therefore,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.1 \geq E I_{\{\mathfrak{A}\}\}}\right\} \tag{5.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The required upper bound for $\mathrm{P}(\mathfrak{A})$ is obtained by estimating $\mathfrak{z} T$ from below on $\mathfrak{A}$. Since $U_{t}=\int_{0}^{t}\left(\lambda(s), d X_{s}^{\varepsilon}-b\left(X_{s}^{\varepsilon}\right) d s\right)$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& U_{T}-0.5\langle U\rangle_{T}= \\
& \quad \int_{0}^{T}\left[\left(\lambda(s), d X_{s}^{\varepsilon}-b\left(X_{s}^{\varepsilon}\right) d s\right)-\frac{\varepsilon^{2}}{2}\left(\lambda(s), a\left(X_{s}^{\varepsilon}\right) \lambda(s)\right) d s\right]= \\
& \quad \int_{0}^{T}\left[\left(\lambda(s), \dot{u}_{s}-b\left(u_{s}\right)\right)-\frac{\varepsilon^{2}}{2}\left(\lambda(s), a\left(u_{s}\right) \lambda(s)\right)\right] d s  \tag{5.3}\\
& \quad+\int_{0}^{T}\left(\lambda(s), d X_{s}^{\varepsilon}-\dot{u}_{s} d s\right)+\int_{0}^{T}\left(\lambda(s), b\left(u_{s}\right)-b\left(X_{s}^{\varepsilon}\right) d s\right. \\
& \quad+\int_{0}^{T} \frac{\varepsilon^{2}}{2}\left(\lambda(s),\left[a\left(u_{s}\right)-a\left(X_{s}^{\varepsilon}\right)\right] \lambda(s)\right) d s .
\end{align*}
$$

We derive lower bounds on the set $\mathfrak{A}$ for each term in the right hand side of (5.3). Applying the Itô formula to $\left(\lambda(t), X_{t}^{\varepsilon}-u_{t}\right)$, and taking into account that $X_{0}^{\varepsilon}=u_{0}$, we find that

$$
\left(\lambda(T), X_{T}^{\varepsilon}-u_{T}\right)=\int_{0}^{T}\left(\lambda(s), d X_{s}^{\varepsilon}-\dot{u}_{s} d s\right)+\int_{0}^{T}\left(\dot{\lambda}(s), X_{s}^{\varepsilon}-u_{s}\right) d s .
$$

Therefore,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{0}^{T}\left(\lambda(s), d X_{s}^{\varepsilon}\right. & \left.-\dot{u}_{s} d s\right) \\
& \geq-\left|\left(\lambda(T), X_{T}^{\varepsilon}-u_{T}\right)\right|-\left|\int_{0}^{T}\left(\dot{\lambda}(s), X_{s}^{\varepsilon}-u_{s}\right) d s\right| \geq-r_{1} \delta,
\end{aligned}
$$

with $r_{1}:=r_{1}(\lambda, T, C) \geq 0$, independent of $\varepsilon$.
Further, with $r_{i}:=r_{i}(\lambda, T, C) \geq 0, i=2,3$, due to the local Lipschitz continuity of $\sigma$ and $a$, we find that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{0}^{T}\left(\lambda(s), b\left(u_{s}\right)-b\left(X_{s}^{\varepsilon}\right)\right) d s \geq-r_{2}(\lambda, C, T) \delta \\
& \int_{0}^{T} \frac{\varepsilon^{2}}{2}\left(\lambda(s),\left[a\left(u_{s}\right)-a\left(X_{s}^{\varepsilon}\right)\right] \lambda(s)\right) d s \geq-\varepsilon^{2} r_{3}(\lambda, C, T) \delta
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence with $r:=r_{1}+r_{2}+\varepsilon^{2} r_{3}$,

$$
\log \mathfrak{z} T \geq \int_{0}^{T}\left[\left(\lambda(s), \dot{u}_{s}-b\left(u_{s}\right)\right)-\frac{\varepsilon^{2}}{2}\left(\lambda(s), a\left(u_{s}\right) \lambda(s)\right)\right] d s-r(\lambda, T, C) \delta
$$

Set $\nu(s)=\varepsilon^{2} \lambda(s)$ and rewrite the above inequality as:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\log \mathfrak{z}_{T} \geq \frac{1}{\varepsilon^{2}} \int_{0}^{T}\left[\left(\nu(s), \dot{u}_{s}-b\left(u_{s}\right)\right)-\frac{1}{2}\left(\nu(s), a\left(u_{s}\right) \nu(s)\right)\right] & d s \\
& -r\left(\frac{\nu}{\varepsilon^{2}}, T, C\right) \delta
\end{aligned}
$$

This lower bound, along with (5.2), provides the following upper bound

$$
\begin{aligned}
\varepsilon^{2} \log \mathrm{P}(\mathfrak{A}) \leq-\int_{0}^{T}\left[\left(\nu(s), \dot{u}_{s}-b\left(u_{s}\right)\right)-\frac{1}{2}\left(\nu(s), a\left(u_{s}\right)\right.\right. & \nu(s))] d s \\
& +\varepsilon^{2} r\left(\frac{\nu}{\varepsilon^{2}}, T, C\right) \delta
\end{aligned}
$$

Clearly $\varlimsup_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \varepsilon^{2} r\left(\frac{\nu}{\varepsilon^{2}}, T, C\right)<\infty$ and, hence,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \varlimsup_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \varlimsup_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \varepsilon^{2} \log \mathrm{P}(\mathfrak{A}) \\
& \leq-\int_{0}^{T}\left[\left(\nu(s), \dot{u}_{s}-b\left(u_{s}\right)\right)-\frac{1}{2}\left(\nu(s), a\left(u_{s}\right) \nu(s)\right)\right] d s \tag{5.4}
\end{align*}
$$

Since the left hand side of (5.4) is independent of $\nu(s)$, (5.1) is derived by minimizing the right hand side of (5.4) with respect to $\nu(s)$. Two difficulties arise on the way to direct minimization:

- the matrix $a\left(u_{s}\right)$ may be singular
- the entries of $\nu(s)$ should be continuously differentiable functions.

Assume first $a\left(u_{s}\right)$ is a positive definite matrix, uniformly in $s$, and write

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\nu(s), \dot{u}_{s}-b\left(u_{s}\right)\right)-\frac{1}{2}(\nu(s) & \left., a\left(u_{s}\right) \nu(s)\right)=\frac{1}{2}\left\|\dot{u}_{s}-b\left(u_{s}\right)\right\|_{a^{-1}\left(u_{s}\right)}^{2} \\
& -\frac{1}{2}\left\|a^{1 / 2}\left(u_{s}\right)\left(\nu(s)-a^{-1}\left(u_{s}\right)\left[\dot{u}_{s}-b\left(u_{s}\right)\right]\right)\right\|^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

If the entries of $a^{-1}\left(u_{s}\right)\left[\dot{u}_{s}-b\left(u_{s}\right)\right]$ are continuously differentiable functions, then, by taking $\nu(s) \equiv-a^{-1}\left(u_{s}\right)\left[\dot{u}_{s}-b\left(u_{s}\right)\right]$ we find that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varlimsup_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \varlimsup_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \varepsilon^{2} \log \mathrm{P}(\mathfrak{A}) \leq-\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{T}\left\|\dot{u}_{s}-b\left(u_{s}\right)\right\|_{a^{-1}\left(u_{s}\right)}^{2} d s \tag{5.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the general case, due to $\int_{0}^{T}\left\|\dot{u}_{s}\right\|^{2} d s<\infty$, the entries of $a^{-1}\left(u_{s}\right)\left[\dot{u}_{s}-b\left(u_{s}\right)\right]$ are square integrable with respect to the Lebesgue measure on $[0, T]$. Choose
a maximizing sequence $\nu_{n}(s), n \geq 1$, of continuously differentiable functions such that $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{0}^{T}\left\|\nu_{n}(s)-a^{-1}\left(u_{s}\right)\left[\dot{u}_{s}-b\left(u_{s}\right)\right]\right\|^{2} d s=0$. Since all the entries of $a\left(u_{s}\right)$ are uniformly bounded on $[0, T]$

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{0}^{T}\left\|a^{1 / 2}\left(u_{s}\right)\left(\nu_{n}(s)-a^{-1}\left(u_{s}\right)\left[\dot{u}_{s}-b\left(u_{s}\right)\right]\right)\right\|^{2} d s=0
$$

and (5.5) holds too.
Now we drop the uniform nonsingularity assumption of $a\left(u_{s}\right)$. The upper bound in (5.5) remains valid with $a\left(u_{s}\right)$ replaced by $a_{\beta}\left(u_{s}\right) \equiv a\left(u_{s}\right)+\beta \mathbf{I}$, where $\beta$ is a positive number and $\mathbf{I}$ is $(d \times d)$-unit matrix:

$$
\varlimsup_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \varlimsup_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \varepsilon^{2} \log \mathrm{P}(\mathfrak{A}) \leq-\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{T}\left\|\dot{u}_{s}-b\left(u_{s}\right)\right\|_{\left[a\left(u_{s}\right)+\beta \mathbf{I}\right]^{-1}}^{2} d s
$$

For any fixed $s$, the function $\left\|\dot{u}_{s}-b\left(u_{s}\right)\right\|_{\left[a\left(u_{s}\right)+\beta \mathbf{I}\right]^{-1}}^{2}$ increases with $\beta \downarrow 0$ and by Lemma B. 1 possesses the limit

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \lim _{\beta \rightarrow 0}\left\|\dot{u}_{s}-b\left(u_{s}\right)\right\|_{\left[a\left(u_{s}\right)+\beta \mathbf{I}\right]^{-1}}^{2} \\
& \quad= \begin{cases}\left\|\dot{u}_{s}-b\left(u_{s}\right)\right\|_{a^{\oplus}\left(u_{s}\right)}^{2}, & \multicolumn{1}{c}{\quad \begin{array}{ll} 
& =\left[u_{s}\right) a^{\oplus}\left(u_{s}\right)\left[\dot{u}_{s}-b\left(u_{s}\right)\right] \\
\infty, & \text { otherwise. }
\end{array}}\end{cases}
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus the required upper bound

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \varlimsup_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \varlimsup_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \varepsilon^{2} \log \mathrm{P}(\mathfrak{A}) \\
& \quad \leq\left\{\begin{array}{lc}
-\int_{0}^{T} \frac{1}{2}\left\|\dot{u}_{s}-b\left(u_{s}\right)\right\|_{a^{\oplus}\left(u_{s}\right)}^{2} d s, & a\left(u_{s}\right) a^{\oplus}\left(u_{s}\right)\left[\dot{u}_{s}-b\left(u_{s}\right)\right] \\
\infty, & =\left[\dot{u}_{s}-b\left(u_{s}\right)\right], \text { a.s. }
\end{array}\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

follows by the monotone convergence theorem.
5.2. $u_{0}=\boldsymbol{x}_{\mathbf{0}}, \boldsymbol{d} \boldsymbol{u}_{\boldsymbol{t}} \ll \boldsymbol{d} \boldsymbol{t}, \int_{0}^{\boldsymbol{T}}\left\|\dot{\boldsymbol{u}}_{\boldsymbol{s}}\right\|^{\mathbf{2}} \boldsymbol{d} \boldsymbol{s}=\infty$. We emphasize that $d u_{t} \ll$ $d t$ on $[0, T]$ implies $\int_{0}^{T}\left\|\dot{u}_{s}\right\| d s<\infty$ and return to the upper bound from (5.4). Since $b$ and $\sigma$ are locally Lipschitz, one can choose a constant $L$ (depending on $u(s)$ ), so that, $\left|\left(\nu(s), b\left(u_{s}\right)\right)\right| \leq\left\|b\left(u_{s}\right)\right\|\|\nu(s)\| \leq L\|\nu(s)\|$ and $\left(\nu(s), a\left(u_{s}\right) \nu(s)\right) \leq L\|\nu(s)\|^{2}$. Then, (5.4) implies

$$
\varlimsup_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \varlimsup_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \varepsilon^{2} \log \mathrm{P}(\mathfrak{A}) \leq-\int_{0}^{T}\left[\left(\nu(s), \dot{u}_{s}\right)-L\|\nu(s)\|-\frac{L}{2}\|\nu(s)\|^{2}\right] d s
$$

Let $\nu_{n}(s)$ be a sequence of continuously differentiable functions, approximating the bounded (for each fixed $p>0$ ) function $L^{-1} \dot{u}_{s} I_{\left\{\left\|\dot{u}_{s}\right\| \leq p\right\}}$ in the sense that $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{0}^{T}\left\|\frac{1}{L} \dot{u}_{s} I_{\left\{\left\|\dot{u}_{s}\right\| \leq p\right\}}-\nu_{n}(s)\right\|^{2} d s=0$. Thus,

$$
\varlimsup_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \varlimsup_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \varepsilon^{2} \log \mathrm{P}(\mathfrak{A}) \leq-\frac{1}{2 L} \underbrace{\int_{0}^{T}\left\|\dot{u}_{s}\right\|^{2} I_{\left\{\left\|\dot{u}_{s}\right\| \leq p\right\}} d s}_{\uparrow \infty \text { as } p \uparrow \infty}+\underbrace{\int_{0}^{T}\left\|\dot{u}_{s}\right\| d s}_{<\infty} \underset{p \rightarrow \infty}{\longrightarrow}-\infty
$$

## 6. Local LDP lower bound.

If $\overline{\lim }_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \overline{\lim }_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \varepsilon^{2} \log \mathrm{P}\left(\sup _{t \leq \Theta_{C} \wedge T}\left|X_{t}^{\varepsilon}-u_{t}\right| \leq \delta\right) \leq-J_{T}(u)=-\infty$, then the corresponding local LDP lower bound is $-\infty$ as well and hence only the case $J_{T}(u)<\infty$ is to be considered, i.e. we may restrict ourselves to analyzing test functions with the properties:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { (i) } u_{0}=x_{0} \\
& \text { (ii) } d u_{t} \ll d t \\
& \text { (iii) } a\left(u_{t}\right) a^{\oplus}\left(u_{t}\right)\left[\dot{u}_{t}-b\left(u_{t}\right)\right]=\left[\dot{u}_{t}-b\left(u_{t}\right)\right] \quad \text { a.s. } \\
& \text { (iv) } \int_{0}^{T}\left\|\dot{u}_{t}-b\left(u_{t}\right)\right\|_{a^{\oplus}\left(u_{t}\right)}^{2} d t<\infty, \forall T>0  \tag{6.1}\\
& \text { (v) } \int_{0}^{T}\left\|\dot{u}_{t}\right\|^{2} d t<\infty .
\end{align*}
$$

Another helpful observation is that (3.4) holds if for any $C>0$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varliminf_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \varliminf_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \varepsilon^{2} \log \mathrm{P}\left(\sup _{t \leq \Theta_{C} \wedge T}\left\|X_{t}^{\varepsilon}-u_{t}\right\| \leq \delta\right) \geq-J_{T}(u) \tag{6.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

due to

$$
\left\{\sup _{t \leq \Theta_{C} \wedge T}\left\|X_{t}^{\varepsilon}-u_{t}\right\| \leq \delta\right\} \subseteq\left\{\sup _{t \leq T}\left\|X_{t}^{\varepsilon}-u_{t}\right\| \leq \delta\right\} \bigcup\left\{\Theta_{C} \leq T\right\}
$$

and (4.1).
6.1. Nonsingular $\boldsymbol{a}(\boldsymbol{x})$. In this section, the matrix $a(x)$ is assumed to be uniformly nonsingular in $x \in \mathbb{R}$, in the sense that $a(x) \geq \beta \mathbf{I}$ for a positive number $\beta$. Let $\lambda(s):=\sigma^{-1}\left(X_{s}^{\varepsilon}\right)\left[\dot{u}_{s}-b\left(X_{s}^{\varepsilon}\right)\right]$ and introduce a martingale $U_{t}=\int_{0}^{\Theta_{C} \wedge t} \frac{1}{\varepsilon}\left(\lambda(s), d B_{s}\right)$ and its martingale exponential $\mathfrak{z}_{t}=e^{U_{t}-0.5\langle U\rangle_{t}}$, $t \leq T$, where $\langle U\rangle_{t}=\int_{0}^{\Theta_{C} \wedge t} \frac{1}{\varepsilon^{2}}\|\lambda(s)\|^{2} d s$.

By (iv) and (v) of (6.1), $\langle U\rangle_{T} \leq$ const. and hence $\mathrm{E}_{\mathfrak{z} T}=1$. We use this fact in order to define a new probability measure $\mathbf{Q}^{\varepsilon}$ by $d \mathbf{Q}^{\varepsilon}={ }_{\mathfrak{z}} T \mathrm{dP}$. Since $\mathfrak{z} T$ is positive P -a.s., $\mathrm{P} \ll \mathrm{Q}^{\varepsilon}$ as well and $d \mathbf{P}=\mathfrak{z}_{T}^{-1} d \mathrm{Q}^{\varepsilon}$.

We proceed with the proof of (6.2) by applying

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{P}(\widetilde{\mathfrak{A}})=\int_{\tilde{\mathfrak{A}}^{2}} \mathfrak{z}_{T}^{-1} d \mathbf{Q}^{\varepsilon} \tag{6.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

to the set $\widetilde{\mathfrak{A}}=\left\{\sup _{t \leq \Theta_{C} \wedge T}\left\|X_{t}^{\varepsilon}-u_{t}\right\| \leq \delta\right\}$, and estimating from below the right hand side in (6.3). In order to realize this program, it is convenient to have a semimartingale description of the process $X_{\Theta_{C} \wedge t}^{\varepsilon}$ under $\mathrm{Q}^{\varepsilon}$. Recall that the random process $B_{\Theta_{C} \wedge t}$ is a martingale under P with the variation process $\langle B\rangle_{\Theta_{C} \wedge t} \equiv\left(\Theta_{C} \wedge t\right)$ I. It is well known (see e.g. Theorem 2, Ch. $4, \S 5$ in [13]) that $B_{\Theta_{C} \wedge t}$ is a continuous semimartingale under $\mathrm{Q}^{\varepsilon}$ with the decomposition $B_{\Theta_{C} \wedge t}=\widetilde{B}_{t}+A_{t}^{B}$, where $\widetilde{B}_{t}$ is a martingale (under $\mathrm{Q}^{\varepsilon}$ ) with $\langle\widetilde{B}\rangle_{t} \equiv\langle B\rangle_{\Theta_{C} \wedge t}$ and, by the Girsanov theorem,

$$
A_{t}^{B}=\int_{0}^{\Theta_{C} \wedge t} \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \sigma^{-1}\left(X_{s}^{\varepsilon}\right)\left[\dot{u}_{s}-b\left(X_{s}^{\varepsilon}\right)\right] d s .
$$

In particular,

$$
X_{\Theta_{C} \wedge t}^{\varepsilon}=u_{\Theta_{C} \wedge t}+\varepsilon \int_{0}^{\Theta_{C} \wedge t} \sigma\left(X_{s}^{\varepsilon}\right) d \widetilde{B}_{s}, t \leq T, \quad Q^{\varepsilon} \text {-a.s. }
$$

As the next preparatory step we derive the semimartingale decomposition of $U_{t}$ under $\mathrm{Q}^{\varepsilon}$. As before, the continuous martingale $U_{t}$ under P is transformed to a semimartingale under $Q^{\varepsilon}$ :

$$
U_{t}=\widetilde{U}_{t}+A_{t}^{U}
$$

with continuous $\mathbf{Q}^{\varepsilon}$-martingale $\widetilde{U}_{t}$, having the variation process $\langle\widetilde{U}\rangle_{t} \equiv\langle U\rangle_{t}$, P- and $\mathrm{Q}^{\varepsilon}$-a.s., and a continuous drift $A_{t}^{U} \equiv\langle U\rangle_{t}$.

Thus, $U_{t}=\widetilde{U}_{t}+\langle U\rangle_{t}, t \leq T, \mathbf{Q}^{\varepsilon}$-a.s. and, thereby, $\mathfrak{z}_{T}^{-1}=e^{-\widetilde{U}_{T}-\frac{1}{2}\langle U\rangle_{T}}$. Consequently, (6.3) is transformed to

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{P}(\widetilde{\mathfrak{A}}) & =\int_{\tilde{\mathfrak{A}}} \exp \left(-\widetilde{U}_{T}-\frac{1}{2}\langle U\rangle_{T}\right) d \mathbf{Q}^{\varepsilon} \\
& =\int_{\tilde{\mathfrak{A}}} \exp \left(-\widetilde{U}_{T}-\frac{1}{2 \varepsilon^{2}} \int_{0}^{\Theta_{C} \wedge T}\left\|\dot{u}_{s}-b\left(X_{s}^{\varepsilon}\right)\right\|_{a^{-1}\left(X_{s}^{\varepsilon}\right)}^{2} d s\right) d \mathbf{Q}^{\varepsilon} .
\end{aligned}
$$

We are now in the position to derive a lower bound for the right hand side. Replacing $\widetilde{\mathfrak{A}}$ with a smaller set $\widetilde{\mathfrak{A}} \cap \mathfrak{B}$, where $\mathfrak{B}=\left\{\left|\varepsilon^{2} \widetilde{U}_{T}\right| \leq \eta\right\}$, write

$$
\mathrm{P}(\widetilde{\mathfrak{A}}) \geq \int_{\tilde{\mathfrak{A}} \cap \mathfrak{B}} \exp \left(-\frac{\eta}{\varepsilon^{2}}-\frac{1}{2 \varepsilon^{2}} \int_{0}^{\Theta_{C} \wedge T}\left\|\dot{u}_{s}-b\left(X_{s}^{\varepsilon}\right)\right\|_{a^{-1}\left(X_{S}^{\varepsilon}\right)}^{2} d s\right) d \mathbf{Q}^{\varepsilon} .
$$

By the local Lipschitz continuity of $b, \sigma$ and the uniform nonsingularity of $a(x)$,

$$
\left|\left\|\dot{u}_{s}-b\left(X_{s}^{\varepsilon}\right)\right\|_{a^{-1}\left(X_{s}^{\varepsilon}\right)}^{2}-\left\|\dot{u}_{s}-b\left(u_{s}\right)\right\|_{a^{-1}\left(u_{s}\right)}^{2}\right| \leq l_{C}\left(\left\|\dot{u}_{s}\right\|+1\right)^{2} \delta, \delta \leq 1,
$$

on the set $\widetilde{\mathfrak{A}} \cap \mathfrak{B}$ for any $s \leq \Theta_{C} \wedge T$. Then,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{P}(\widetilde{\mathfrak{A}}) \geq \int_{\tilde{\mathfrak{A}} \cap \mathfrak{B}} \exp \left(-\frac{\eta}{\varepsilon^{2}}-\frac{\delta l_{C}}{\varepsilon^{2}} \int_{0}^{T}\left(\left\|\dot{u}_{s}\right\|+1\right)^{2} d s\right. \\
&\left.\quad-\frac{1}{2 \varepsilon^{2}} \int_{0}^{\Theta_{C} \wedge T}\left\|\dot{u}_{s}-b\left(u_{s}\right)\right\|_{a^{-1}\left(u_{s}\right)}^{2} d s\right) d \mathbf{Q}^{\varepsilon} \\
& \geq \int_{\tilde{\mathfrak{A}} \cap \mathfrak{B}} \exp \left(-\frac{\eta}{\varepsilon^{2}}-\frac{\delta l_{C}}{\varepsilon^{2}} \int_{0}^{T}\left(\left\|\dot{u}_{s}\right\|+1\right)^{2} d s\right. \\
&\left.\quad-\frac{1}{2 \varepsilon^{2}} \int_{0}^{T}\left\|\dot{u}_{s}-b\left(u_{s}\right)\right\|_{a^{-1}\left(u_{s}\right)}^{2} d s\right) d \mathbf{Q}^{\varepsilon} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Consequently,
$\varliminf_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \varepsilon^{2} \log \mathrm{P}(\widetilde{\mathfrak{A}}) \geq-\eta-\delta l_{C} \int_{0}^{T}\left(\left\|\dot{u}_{s}\right\|+1\right)^{2} d s-J_{T}(u)+\varliminf_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \varepsilon^{2} \log \mathbb{Q}^{\varepsilon}(\widetilde{\mathfrak{A}} \cap \mathfrak{B})$.
We prove now that $\underline{\lim }_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \varepsilon^{2} \log \mathbb{Q}^{\varepsilon}(\widetilde{\mathfrak{A}} \cap \mathfrak{B})=0$ by showing

$$
\lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \mathbb{Q}^{\varepsilon}(\Omega \backslash \widetilde{\mathfrak{A}})=0 \quad \text { and } \quad \lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \mathbb{Q}^{\varepsilon}(\Omega \backslash \mathfrak{B})=0 .
$$

To this end, recall that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Omega \backslash \widetilde{\mathfrak{A}}=\left\{\varepsilon \sup _{t \leq T}\left\|\int_{0}^{\Theta_{C} \wedge t} \sigma\left(X_{s}^{\varepsilon}\right) d \widetilde{B}_{s}\right\|>\delta\right\} \\
& \Omega \backslash \mathfrak{B}=\left\{\varepsilon\left\|\int_{0}^{\Theta_{C} \wedge T} \sigma^{-1}\left(X_{s}^{\varepsilon}\right)\left[\dot{u}_{s}-b\left(X_{s}^{\varepsilon}\right)\right] d \widetilde{B}_{s}\right\|>\eta\right\} . \tag{6.4}
\end{align*}
$$

We verify (6.4) componentwise. Let $L_{t}^{\varepsilon}$ denote any entry of $\int_{0}^{\Theta_{C} \wedge t} \sigma\left(X_{s}^{\varepsilon}\right) d \widetilde{B}_{s}$ or $\int_{0}^{\Theta_{C} \wedge t} \sigma^{-1}\left(X_{s}^{\varepsilon}\right)\left[\dot{u}_{s}-b\left(X_{s}^{\varepsilon}\right)\right] d \widetilde{B}_{s}$. We show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \mathrm{Q}^{\varepsilon}\left(\varepsilon \sup _{t \leq T}\left|L_{t}^{\varepsilon}\right|>\delta\right)=0 \text { and } \lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \mathrm{Q}^{\varepsilon}\left(\varepsilon\left|L_{T}^{\varepsilon}\right|>\delta\right)=0 \tag{6.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

In both cases, $L_{t}^{\varepsilon}$ is a continuous $\mathrm{Q}^{\varepsilon}$-martingale with $\left\langle L^{\varepsilon}\right\rangle_{t}=\int_{0}^{t} g(s) d s$ and $\int_{\Omega} \int_{0}^{T} g(s) d s d \mathbf{Q}^{\varepsilon}<\infty$. Then (6.5) holds by Doob's inequality:

$$
\lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \mathrm{Q}^{\varepsilon}\left(\varepsilon \sup _{t \leq T}\left|L_{t}^{\varepsilon}\right|>\delta\right) \leq \frac{4 \varepsilon^{2}}{\delta^{2}} \int_{\Omega} \int_{0}^{T} g(s) d s d \mathbf{Q}^{\varepsilon} \underset{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0}{\longrightarrow} 0
$$

Now, for any fixed $\delta$ and $\eta$,

$$
\varliminf_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \varepsilon^{2} \log \mathrm{P}(\widetilde{\mathfrak{A}}) \geq-\eta-\delta l_{C} \int_{0}^{T}\left(\left\|\dot{u}_{s}\right\|+1\right)^{2} d s-J_{T}(u)
$$

The required lower bound

$$
\varliminf_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \frac{\lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0}}{} \varepsilon^{2} \log \mathrm{P}(\widetilde{\mathfrak{A}}) \geq-J_{T}(u)
$$

follows by taking $\lim _{\eta \rightarrow 0} \lim _{\delta \rightarrow 0}$.
6.2. General $\boldsymbol{a}(\boldsymbol{x})$. This part of the proof requires perturbation arguments. The idea is to use the already obtained local LDP lower bound for the uniformly nonsingular $a(x)$. Let $W_{t}$ be a standard $d$ dimensional Brownian motion, independent of $B_{t}$, defined on the same stochastic basis. Since $b$ and $\sigma$ are assumed to be locally Lipschitz continuous, one can introduce the perturbed diffusion process controlled by a free parameter $\beta \in(0,1]$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
X_{t}^{\varepsilon, \beta}=x_{0}+\int_{0}^{t} b\left(X_{s}^{\varepsilon, \beta}\right) d s+\varepsilon \int_{0}^{t}\left[\sigma\left(X_{s}^{\varepsilon, \beta}\right) d B_{s}+\sqrt{\beta} d W_{s}\right] \tag{6.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

The process $X_{t}^{\varepsilon, \beta}$, defined in (6.6), solves the Itô equation $X_{t}^{\varepsilon, \beta}=x_{0}+$ $\int_{0}^{t} b\left(X_{s}^{\varepsilon, \beta}\right) d s+\varepsilon \int_{0}^{t}\left[a\left(X_{s}^{\varepsilon, \beta}\right)+\beta \mathbf{I}\right]^{1 / 2} d B_{s}^{\beta}$ with respect to a standard Brownian motion $B_{t}^{\beta}=\int_{0}^{t}\left[a\left(X_{s}^{\varepsilon, \beta}\right)+\beta \mathbf{I}\right]^{-1 / 2}\left[\sigma\left(X_{s}^{\varepsilon, \beta}\right) d B_{s}+\sqrt{\beta} d W_{s}\right]$. Then the family $\left\{\left(X_{t}^{\varepsilon, \beta}\right)_{t \leq T}\right\}_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0}$ satisfies the local LDP lower bound. Indeed, the matrix $a_{\beta}(x)$ is uniformly nonsingular, its entries are locally bounded and satisfy the assumption (H-3) of Theorem 2.1 since

$$
\frac{\left(x, a_{\beta}(x) x\right)}{\|x\||(x, b(x))|}=\frac{(x, a(x) x)}{\|x\||(x, b(x))|}+\beta \frac{\|x\|}{|(x, b(x))|}
$$

and $\frac{\|x\|}{|(x, b(x))|}$ converges to zero as $\|x\| \rightarrow \infty$ by (H-2). In particular, with $\Theta_{C}^{\beta}=\inf \left\{t:\left\|X_{t}^{\varepsilon, \beta}\right\| \geq C\right\}$ and $u_{0}=x_{0}, d u_{t} \ll d t, \int_{0}^{T}\left\|\dot{u}_{t}\right\|^{2} d t<\infty$, we
have

$$
\begin{align*}
\varliminf_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \frac{\lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0}}{} \varepsilon^{2} \log \mathrm{P}\left(\sup _{t \leq \Theta_{C}^{\beta} \wedge T}\left\|X_{t}^{\varepsilon, \beta}-u_{t}\right\|\right. & \leq \delta) \geq \\
& -\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{T}\left\|\dot{u}_{s}-b\left(u_{s}\right)\right\|_{\left(a\left(u_{s}\right)+\beta \mathbf{I}\right)^{-1}}^{2} d s \tag{6.7}
\end{align*}
$$

Further, we will use (6.7) to establish

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varliminf_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \varliminf_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \varepsilon^{2} \log \mathrm{P}\left(\sup _{t \leq T}\left\|X_{t}^{\varepsilon}-u_{t}\right\| \leq \delta\right) \geq-\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{T}\left\|\dot{u}_{s}-b\left(u_{s}\right)\right\|_{a \oplus\left(u_{s}\right)}^{2} d s \tag{6.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

To this end, we introduce the filtration $\mathbf{G}^{\varepsilon}=\left(\mathscr{G}_{t}^{\varepsilon}\right)_{t \geq 0}$, with the general conditions, generated by $\left(X_{t}^{\varepsilon}, X_{t}^{\varepsilon, \beta}\right)_{t \geq 0}$ and notice that both $\Theta_{C}$ (see (4.2)) and $\Theta_{C}^{\beta}$ are stopping times relative to $\mathbf{G}^{\varepsilon}$. Hence,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau_{C}^{\beta}=\Theta_{C} \wedge \Theta_{C}^{\beta} \tag{6.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

is a stopping time as well relative to $\mathbf{G}^{\varepsilon}$. Obviously,

$$
\lim _{C \rightarrow \infty} \varlimsup_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \varepsilon^{2} \log \mathrm{P}\left(\tau_{C}^{\beta} \leq T\right)=-\infty
$$

However, the proof of (6.8) requires a stronger property:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{C \rightarrow \infty} \varlimsup_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \varepsilon^{2} \log \sup _{\beta \in(0,1]} \mathrm{P}\left(\tau_{C}^{\beta} \leq T\right)=-\infty \tag{6.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is clear, that (6.10) is valid if it is valid with $\tau_{C}^{\beta}$ replaced by $\Theta_{C}^{\beta}$. The latter is verified along the lines of Lemma 4.1 proof:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \varepsilon^{2} \log \sup _{\beta \in(0,1]} \mathrm{P}\left(\Theta_{C}^{\beta} \leq T\right) \leq-\inf _{\|x\| \geq C} V(x)+V\left(x_{0}\right) \\
& +\frac{T \varepsilon^{2}}{2} \sup _{\beta \in(0,1]} \sup _{\|x\| \leq C}|\operatorname{trace}(\Psi(x)[a(x)+\beta \mathbf{I}])|+T \sup _{\beta \in(0,1]} \sup _{\|x\| \leq L}\left|\mathfrak{D}_{\beta} V(x)\right| \\
& \quad \xrightarrow[\varepsilon \rightarrow 0]{\longrightarrow}-\inf _{\|x\| \geq C} V(x)+V\left(x_{0}\right)+T \sup _{\beta \in(0,1]} \sup _{\|x\| \leq L}\left|\mathfrak{D}_{\beta} V(x)\right| \xrightarrow[C \rightarrow \infty]{ }-\infty,
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\mathfrak{D}_{\beta} V(x)=(\nabla V(x), b(x))+\frac{1}{2}\left(\nabla V(x), a_{\beta}(x) \nabla V(x)\right)$. We are now in the position to prove (6.8). With $\delta \leq \beta^{1 / 4}$, write

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\left\{\sup _{t \leq \tau_{C}^{\beta} \wedge T}\left\|X_{t}^{\varepsilon, \beta}-u_{t}\right\| \leq \delta\right\} \\
&=\left\{\sup _{t \leq \tau_{C}^{\beta} \wedge T}\left\|X_{t}^{\varepsilon, \beta}-u_{t}\right\| \leq \delta\right\} \bigcap\left\{\sup _{t \leq \tau_{C}^{\beta} \wedge T}\left\|X_{t}^{\varepsilon}-X_{t}^{\varepsilon, \beta}\right\| \leq \beta^{1 / 4}\right\} \\
& \bigcup\left\{\sup _{t \leq \tau_{C}^{\beta} \wedge T}\left\|X_{t}^{\varepsilon, \beta}-u_{t}\right\| \leq \delta\right\} \bigcap\left\{\sup _{t \leq \tau_{C}^{\beta} \wedge T}\left\|X_{t}^{\varepsilon}-X_{t}^{\varepsilon, \beta}\right\|>\beta^{1 / 4}\right\} \\
& \subseteq\left\{\sup _{t \leq \tau_{C}^{\beta} \wedge T}\left\|X_{t}^{\varepsilon, \beta}-u_{t}\right\| \leq \beta^{1 / 4}\right\} \bigcap\left\{\sup _{t \leq \tau_{C}^{\beta} \wedge T}\left\|X_{t}^{\varepsilon}-X_{t}^{\varepsilon, \beta}\right\| \leq \beta^{1 / 4}\right\} \\
& \bigcup\left\{\sup _{t \leq \tau_{C}^{\beta} \wedge T}\left\|X_{t}^{\varepsilon}-X_{t}^{\varepsilon, \beta}\right\|>\beta^{1 / 4}\right\} \\
& \subseteq\left\{\sup _{t \leq \tau_{C}^{\beta} \wedge T}\left\|X_{t}^{\varepsilon}-u_{t}\right\| \leq 2 \beta^{1 / 4}\right\} \bigcup\left\{\sup _{t \leq \tau_{C}^{\beta} \wedge T}\left\|X_{t}^{\varepsilon}-X_{t}^{\varepsilon, \beta}\right\|>\beta^{1 / 4}\right\} \\
& \subseteq\left\{\sup _{t \leq T}\left\|X_{t}^{\varepsilon}-u_{t}\right\| \leq 2 \beta^{1 / 4}\right\} \bigcup\left\{\sup _{t \leq \tau_{C}^{\beta} \wedge T}\left\|X_{t}^{\varepsilon}-X_{t}^{\varepsilon, \beta}\right\|>\beta^{1 / 4}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{P}\left(\sup _{t \leq \tau_{C}^{\beta} \wedge T}\left\|X_{t}^{\varepsilon, \beta}-u_{t}\right\| \leq \delta\right) \leq 3\left\{\mathrm{P}\left(\sup _{t \leq T}\left\|X_{t}^{\varepsilon}-u_{t}\right\| \leq 2 \beta^{1 / 4}\right)\right. \\
&\left.\bigvee \mathrm{P}\left(\sup _{t \leq \tau_{C}^{\beta} \wedge T}\left\|X_{t}^{\varepsilon}-X_{t}^{\varepsilon, \beta}\right\|>\beta^{1 / 4}\right) \bigvee \mathrm{P}\left(\tau_{C}^{\beta} \leq T\right)\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

Clearly, $\Theta_{C}^{\beta}$ can be replaced by $\tau_{C}^{\beta}$, and so

$$
\begin{array}{r}
-\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{T}\left\|\dot{u}_{s}-b\left(u_{s}\right)\right\|_{\left(a\left(u_{s}\right)+\beta \mathbf{I}\right)^{-1}}^{2} d s \leq \varliminf_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \varepsilon^{2} \log \mathrm{P}\left(\sup _{t \leq T}\left\|X_{t}^{\varepsilon}-u_{t}\right\| \leq 2 \beta^{1 / 4}\right) \\
\bigvee \varlimsup_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \varepsilon^{2} \log \mathrm{P}\left(\sup _{t \leq \tau_{C}^{\beta} \wedge T}\left\|X_{t}^{\varepsilon}-X_{t}^{\varepsilon, \beta}\right\|>\beta^{1 / 4}\right) \\
\bigvee \varlimsup_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \varepsilon^{2} \log \sup _{\beta \in(0,1]} \mathrm{P}\left(\tau_{C}^{\beta} \leq T\right) . \tag{6.11}
\end{array}
$$

Next we use the following facts:
(1) by Lemma B. 1 and (6.1),

$$
\lim _{\beta \rightarrow 0} \int_{0}^{T}\left\|\dot{u}_{s}-b\left(u_{s}\right)\right\|_{\left(a\left(u_{s}\right)+\beta \mathbf{I}\right)^{-1}}^{2} d s=\int_{0}^{T}\left\|\dot{u}_{s}-b\left(u_{s}\right)\right\|_{a \oplus\left(u_{s}\right)}^{2} d s
$$

(2) by Lemma C.1,

$$
\lim _{\beta \rightarrow 0} \varlimsup_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \varepsilon^{2} \log \mathrm{P}\left(\sup _{t \leq \tau_{C}^{\beta} \wedge T}\left\|X_{t}^{\varepsilon}-X_{t}^{\varepsilon, \beta}\right\|>\beta^{1 / 4}\right)=-\infty
$$

(3) by (6.10), $\lim _{C \rightarrow \infty} \varlimsup_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \varepsilon^{2} \log \sup _{\beta \in(0,1]} \mathrm{P}\left(\tau_{C}^{\beta} \leq T\right)=-\infty$.

Hence, passing to the limit $\beta \rightarrow 0$ and then $C \rightarrow \infty$ in (6.11) and taking into account (1)-(3), one gets the required lower bound

$$
\varliminf_{\beta \rightarrow 0} \varliminf_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \varepsilon^{2} \log \mathrm{P}\left(\sup _{t \leq T}\left\|X_{t}^{\varepsilon}-u_{t}\right\| \leq 2 \beta^{1 / 4}\right) \geq-\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{T}\left\|\dot{u}_{s}-b\left(u_{s}\right)\right\|_{a^{\oplus}\left(u_{s}\right)}^{2} d s
$$

## Appendix A. Exponential estimates for martingales

Proposition A.1. (Lemma A. 1 in [10]) Let $M=\left(M_{t}\right)_{t \geq 0}, M_{t} \in \mathbb{R}$, be a continuous local martingale with $M_{0}=0$ and the predictable variation process $\langle M\rangle_{t}$ defined on some stochastic basis with general conditions. Let $\tau$ be a stopping time, $\alpha$ and $B$ positive constants and $\mathfrak{A}$ some measurable set.
(a) if $M_{\tau}-\frac{1}{2}\langle M\rangle_{\tau} \geq \alpha$ on $\mathfrak{A}$, then $\mathrm{P}(\mathfrak{A}) \leq e^{-\alpha}$;
(b) if $M_{\tau} \geq \alpha$ and $\langle M\rangle_{\tau} \leq B$ on $\mathfrak{A}$, then $\mathrm{P}(\mathfrak{A}) \leq e^{-\frac{\alpha^{2}}{2 B}}$;
(c) $\mathrm{P}\left(\sup _{t \leq T}\left|M_{t}\right| \geq \alpha,\langle M\rangle_{T} \leq B\right) \leq 2 e^{-\frac{\alpha^{2}}{2 B}}$;
(d) $\mathrm{P}\left(\sup _{t \leq T}\left|M_{t}\right| \geq \alpha\right) \leq 2 e^{-\frac{\alpha^{2}}{2 B}} \bigvee \mathrm{P}\left(\langle M\rangle_{T}>B\right)$.

## Appendix B. Pseudoinverse of nonnegative definite matrices

Let $A^{\oplus}$ be the Moore-Penrose pseudoinverse matrix of $A$ (see [1]).
Lemma B.1. For $d \times d$ nonnegative definite matrix $A$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}^{d}$,

$$
\lim _{\beta \rightarrow 0}\left(x,(A+\beta \mathbf{I})^{-1} x\right)= \begin{cases}\|x\|_{A^{\oplus}}^{2}, & \text { A } A^{\oplus} x=x \\ \infty, & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

Proof. Let $S$ be an orthogonal matrix, $S^{*} S=\mathbf{I}$, such that $D:=S^{*} A S$ is a diagonal matrix. Then, due to $S^{*}(A+\beta \mathbf{I}) S=D+\beta \mathbf{I}$, we have $S^{*}(A+$ $\beta \mathbf{I})^{-1} S=(D+\beta \mathbf{I})^{-1}$ and $S(D+\beta \mathbf{I})^{-1} S^{*}=(A+\beta \mathbf{I})^{-1}$. Write $\left(y:=S^{*} x\right)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(x,(A+\beta \mathbf{I})^{-1} x\right)= & \left(x, S(D+\beta \mathbf{I})^{-1} S^{*} x\right)=\left(S^{*} x,(D+\beta \mathbf{I})^{-1} S^{*} x\right) \\
= & \left(y,(D+\beta \mathbf{I})^{-1} y\right)=\left(y,(D+\beta \mathbf{I})^{-1} D D^{\oplus} y\right) \\
& +\left(y,(D+\beta \mathbf{I})^{-1}\left(\mathbf{I}-D D^{\oplus}\right) y\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\lim _{\beta \rightarrow 0}(D+\beta \mathbf{I})^{-1} D D^{\oplus}=D^{\oplus}$, one gets

$$
\lim _{\beta \rightarrow 0}\left(y,(D+\beta \mathbf{I})^{-1} D D^{\oplus} y\right)=\|y\|_{D \oplus}^{2}=\|x\|_{A^{\oplus}}^{2}
$$

while $\lim _{\beta \rightarrow 0}\left(y,(D+\beta \mathbf{I})^{-1}\left(\mathbf{I}-D D^{\oplus}\right) y\right) \neq \infty$ only if $\left(\mathbf{I}-D D^{\oplus}\right) y=0$. Since the latter condition is nothing but $\left(\mathbf{I}-A A^{\oplus}\right) x=0$, the desired statement holds.

## Appendix C. Exponential negligibility of $\boldsymbol{X}_{t}^{\varepsilon, \beta}-\boldsymbol{X}_{t}^{\varepsilon}$

We start with an auxiliary result.
Proposition C.1. Let $Y_{t}$ be a nonnegative continuous semimartingale defined on a stochastic basis (with general conditions):

$$
\begin{align*}
Y_{t}=\int_{0}^{t} h_{1}(s) Y_{s} d s+\varepsilon & \int_{0}^{t} h_{2}(s) Y_{s} d M_{s}^{\prime} \\
& +\varepsilon \sqrt{\beta} \int_{0}^{t} h_{3}(s) \sqrt{Y_{s}} d M_{s}^{\prime \prime}+\varepsilon^{2} \beta \int_{0}^{t} h_{4}(s) d s, \tag{C.1}
\end{align*}
$$

where $h_{i}(s), i=1, \ldots, 4$, are bounded predictable processes and $M_{t}^{\prime}, M_{t}^{\prime \prime}$ are continuous martingales, $d\left\langle M^{\prime}\right\rangle_{t}=m^{\prime}(t) d t, d\left\langle M^{\prime \prime}\right\rangle_{t}=m^{\prime \prime}(t) d t,\left\langle M^{\prime}, M^{\prime \prime}\right\rangle_{t} \equiv$ 0 with bounded $m^{\prime}(t)$ and $m^{\prime \prime}(t)$. Assume that for any $T>0$ and $\beta>0$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{L \rightarrow \infty} \varlimsup_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \varepsilon^{2} \log \mathrm{P}\left(\sup _{t \leq T} \sqrt{Y_{t}}>L\right)=-\infty \tag{C.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, for any $T>0$,

$$
\lim _{\beta \rightarrow 0} \varlimsup_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \varepsilon^{2} \log \mathrm{P}\left(\sup _{t \leq T}\left|Y_{t}\right|>\beta^{1 / 4}\right)=-\infty .
$$

Proof. Obviously $Y_{t}$ solves the integral equation

$$
Y_{t}=\mathcal{E}_{t} \int_{0}^{t} \mathcal{E}_{s}^{-1}\left[\varepsilon \sqrt{\beta} h_{3}(s) \sqrt{Y_{s}} d M_{s}^{\prime \prime}+\varepsilon^{2} \beta h_{4}(s) d s\right]
$$

where $\mathcal{E}_{t}=\exp \left(\int_{0}^{t}\left[h_{1}(s)-\varepsilon^{2} 0.5 h_{2}^{2}(s)\right] d s+\int_{0}^{t} \varepsilon h_{2}(s) d M_{s}^{\prime}\right)$. Let for definiteness $\left|h_{i}\right| \leq r$, where $r$ is a constant. Then, with $\varepsilon \leq 1$,

$$
\sup _{t \leq T}\left|\log \mathcal{E}_{t}\right| \leq T\left(r+0.5 r^{2}\right)+\sup _{t \leq T}\left|\varepsilon \int_{0}^{t} h_{2}(s) d M_{s}^{\prime}\right|
$$

Hence the random variable $\sup _{t \leq T}\left|\log \mathcal{E}_{t}\right|$ is bounded on the set

$$
\left\{\sup _{t \leq T}\left|\varepsilon \int_{0}^{t} h_{2}(s) d M_{s}^{\prime}\right| \leq C\right\}
$$

Moreover, it is exponentially tight in the sense that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{C \rightarrow \infty} \varlimsup_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \varepsilon^{2} \log \mathrm{P}\left(\sup _{t \leq T}\left|\log \mathcal{E}_{t}\right|>C\right)=-\infty \tag{C.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The latter is implied by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{C \rightarrow \infty} \varlimsup_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \varepsilon^{2} \log \mathrm{P}\left(\sup _{t \leq T}\left|\varepsilon \int_{0}^{t} h_{2}(s) d M_{s}^{\prime}\right|>C\right)=-\infty \tag{C.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

since the martingale $N_{t}=\varepsilon \int_{0}^{t} h_{2}(s) d M_{s}^{\prime}$ has the quadratic variation process $\langle N\rangle_{t}=\varepsilon^{2} \int_{0}^{t} h^{2}(s) m^{\prime}(s) d s$ and, with some positive number $r_{1}$, we have $\varepsilon^{2} h^{2}(s) m^{\prime}(s) \leq \varepsilon^{2} r_{1}$. Then, by taking into account that $\mathrm{P}\left(\langle N\rangle_{T}>\right.$ $\left.\varepsilon^{2} r_{1} T\right)=0$ and applying the statement (d) of Proposition A.1, we obtain $\mathrm{P}\left(\sup _{t \leq T}\left|N_{t}\right|>C\right) \leq 2 e^{-C^{2} /\left(2 \varepsilon^{2} r_{1} T\right)}$ providing (C.4).

Now we estimate $\sup _{t \leq T}\left|Y_{t}\right|$ on the set $\left\{\sup _{t \leq T}\left|\log \mathcal{E}_{t}\right| \leq C\right\}$. Write

$$
\sup _{t \leq T}\left|Y_{t}\right| \leq e^{C} \operatorname{Tr}^{2} \beta+e^{C} \sup _{t \leq T}\left|\int_{0}^{t} \mathcal{E}_{s}^{-1} \varepsilon \sqrt{\beta} h_{3}(s) \sqrt{Y_{s}} d M_{s}^{\prime \prime}\right| .
$$

This upper bound and (C.2), (C.3) reduce the proof of Proposition C. 1 to:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lim _{\beta \rightarrow 0} \varlimsup & \varlimsup \\
\lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} & \varepsilon^{2} \log \mathrm{P}\left(\sup _{t \leq T}\left|\int_{0}^{t} \mathcal{E}_{s}^{-1} \varepsilon \sqrt{\beta} h_{3}(s) \sqrt{Y_{s}} d M_{s}^{\prime \prime}\right|>\beta^{1 / 4},\right. \\
& \left.\sup _{t \leq T} \sqrt{Y_{t}} \leq L, \sup _{t \leq T}\left|\log \mathcal{E}_{t}\right| \leq C\right)=\infty
\end{aligned}
$$

for any $C>0$ and $L>0$. Introduce the martingale

$$
N_{t}^{\prime \prime}=\int_{0}^{t} \mathcal{E}_{s}^{-1} \varepsilon \sqrt{\beta} h_{3}(s) \sqrt{Y_{s}} d M_{s}^{\prime \prime} \text { with }\left\langle N^{\prime \prime}\right\rangle_{t}=\int_{0}^{t} \mathcal{E}_{s}^{-2} \varepsilon^{2} \beta h_{3}^{2}(s) Y_{s} m^{\prime \prime}(s) d s
$$

and denote $\mathfrak{C}=\left\{\sup _{t \leq T} \sqrt{Y_{t}} \leq L, \sup _{t \leq T}\left|\log \mathcal{E}_{t}\right| \leq C\right\}$. With $r_{2} \geq$ $h_{3}^{2}(s) L m^{\prime \prime}(s)$, we find that

$$
\left\langle N^{\prime \prime}\right\rangle_{T} \leq e^{2 C} r_{2} T \varepsilon^{2} \beta .
$$

Hence,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{P}\left(\sup _{t \leq T}\left|N_{t}^{\prime \prime}\right|>\beta^{1 / 4}, \mathfrak{C}\right)= & \mathrm{P}\left(\sup _{t \leq T}\left|N_{t}^{\prime \prime}\right|>\beta^{1 / 4},\left\langle N^{\prime \prime}\right\rangle_{T} \leq e^{2 C} r_{2} T \varepsilon^{2} \beta, \mathfrak{C}\right) \\
& \leq \mathrm{P}\left(\sup _{t \leq T}\left|N_{t}^{\prime \prime}\right|>\beta^{1 / 4},\left\langle N^{\prime \prime}\right\rangle_{T} \leq e^{2 C} r_{2} T \varepsilon^{2} \beta\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

By (c) of Proposition A. 1 the latter term is upper bounded by

$$
2 \exp \left(\frac{\beta^{1 / 2}}{2 e^{2 C} r_{2} T \varepsilon^{2} \beta}\right) .
$$

Then we obtain

$$
\varlimsup_{\varepsilon \rightarrow \infty} \varepsilon^{2} \log \mathrm{P}\left(\sup _{t \leq T}\left|N_{t}^{\prime \prime}\right|>\beta^{1 / 4}, \mathfrak{C}\right) \leq-\frac{1}{2 e^{2 C} r_{2} T \beta^{1 / 2}} \underset{\beta \rightarrow 0}{\longrightarrow}-\infty
$$

We apply Proposition C. 1 in order to prove
Lemma C.1. For any $T>0$ and $C>0$,

$$
\lim _{\beta \rightarrow 0} \varlimsup_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \varepsilon^{2} \log \mathrm{P}\left(\sup _{t \leq \tau_{C}^{\beta} \wedge T}\left\|X_{t}^{\varepsilon, \beta}-X_{t}^{\varepsilon}\right\|>\beta^{1 / 4}\right)=-\infty .
$$

Proof. Recall that $X_{t}^{\varepsilon}$ and $X_{t}^{\varepsilon, \beta}$ solve (1.1) and (6.6) respectively and $\tau_{C}^{\beta}$ is given in (6.9). Set $\triangle_{t}^{\varepsilon, \beta}=X_{\tau_{C}^{\beta} \wedge t}^{\varepsilon, \beta}-X_{\tau_{C}^{\beta} \wedge t}^{\varepsilon}$. By (1.1) and (6.6),

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Delta_{t}^{\varepsilon, \beta}=\int_{0}^{\tau_{C}^{\beta} \wedge t}\left(b\left(X_{\tau_{C}^{\beta} \wedge s}^{\varepsilon, \beta}\right)-b\left(X_{\tau_{C}^{\beta} \wedge s}^{\varepsilon}\right)\right) d s+ \\
&+\varepsilon \int_{0}^{\tau_{C}^{\beta} \wedge t}\left(\sigma\left(X_{\tau_{C}^{\beta} \wedge s}^{\varepsilon, \beta}\right)-\sigma\left(X_{\tau_{C}^{\beta} \wedge s}^{\varepsilon}\right)\right) d B_{s}+\varepsilon \sqrt{\beta} W_{\tau_{C}^{\beta} \wedge t} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Due to the local Lipschitz continuity of $b$ and $\sigma$ and with $0 / 0=0$, the vector-valued and matrix-valued functions:

$$
f(s)=\frac{b\left(X_{\tau_{C}^{\beta} \wedge s}^{\varepsilon, \beta}\right)-b\left(X_{\tau_{C}^{\beta} \wedge t}^{\varepsilon}\right)}{\left\|\triangle_{s}^{\varepsilon, \beta}\right\|} \text { and } g(s)=\frac{\sigma\left(X_{\tau_{C}^{\beta} \wedge s}^{\varepsilon, \beta}\right)-\sigma\left(X_{\tau_{C}^{\beta} \wedge s}^{\varepsilon}\right)}{\left\|\triangle_{s}^{\varepsilon, \beta}\right\|}
$$

are well defined and their entries are bounded by a constant depending on $C$. Hence

$$
\triangle_{t}^{\varepsilon, \beta}=\int_{0}^{\tau_{C}^{\beta} \wedge t}\left\|\triangle_{s}^{\varepsilon, \beta}\right\| f(s) d s+\varepsilon \int_{0}^{\tau_{C}^{\beta} \wedge t}\left\|\triangle_{s}^{\varepsilon, \beta}\right\| g(s) d B_{s}+\varepsilon \sqrt{\beta} W_{\tau_{C}^{\beta} \wedge t}
$$

Since $\left\|\triangle_{t}^{\varepsilon, \beta}\right\|^{2}=\left(\triangle_{t}^{\varepsilon, \beta}, \triangle_{t}^{\varepsilon, \beta}\right)$, by the Itô formula, we find that

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|\triangle_{t}^{\varepsilon, \beta}\right\|^{2} & =\int_{0}^{t} 2\left\|\triangle_{s}^{\varepsilon, \beta}\right\|\left(\triangle_{s}^{\varepsilon, \beta}, f(s)\right) d s \\
& +\varepsilon \int_{0}^{\tau_{C}^{\beta} \wedge t} 2\left\|\triangle_{s}^{\varepsilon, \beta}\right\|\left(\triangle_{s}^{\varepsilon, \beta}, g(s) d B_{s}\right) \\
& +\varepsilon \sqrt{\beta} \int_{0}^{\tau_{C}^{\beta} \wedge t} 2\left(\triangle_{s}^{\varepsilon, \beta}, d W_{s}\right)  \tag{C.5}\\
& +\varepsilon^{2} \int_{0}^{\tau_{C}^{\beta} \wedge t}\left\|\triangle_{s}^{\varepsilon, \beta}\right\|^{2} \operatorname{trace}\left[g(s) g^{*}(s)\right] d s \\
& +\varepsilon^{2} \beta\left(\tau_{C}^{\beta} \wedge t\right) d
\end{align*}
$$

Now, by letting $\phi(s)=\frac{2\left(\Delta_{s}^{\varepsilon, \beta}, f(s)\right)}{\left\|\triangle_{s}^{\varepsilon, \beta}\right\|}$ and $d \widehat{B}_{s}=\frac{2\left(\triangle_{s}^{\varepsilon, \beta}, g(s) d B_{s}\right)}{\left\|\triangle_{s}^{\varepsilon, \beta}\right\|}$, we rewrite (C.5) as:

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|\triangle_{t}^{\varepsilon, \beta}\right\|^{2} & =\int_{0}^{\tau_{C}^{\beta} \wedge t}\left\|\triangle_{s}^{\varepsilon, \beta}\right\|^{2}\left(\phi(s)+\varepsilon^{2} \operatorname{trace}\left[g(s) g^{*}(s)\right]\right) d s \\
& +\varepsilon \int_{0}^{\tau_{C}^{\beta} \wedge t}\left\|\triangle_{s}^{\varepsilon, \beta}\right\|^{2} d \widehat{B}_{s}+\varepsilon \sqrt{\beta} \int_{0}^{\tau_{C}^{\beta} \wedge t}\left\|\triangle_{s}^{\varepsilon, \beta}\right\| \frac{2\left(\triangle_{s}^{\varepsilon, \beta}, d W_{s}\right)}{\left\|\triangle_{s}^{\varepsilon, \beta}\right\|} \\
& +\varepsilon^{2} \beta\left(\tau_{C}^{\beta} \wedge t\right) d \tag{C.6}
\end{align*}
$$

With the notations

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { - } Y_{t}=\left\|\triangle_{t}^{\varepsilon, \beta}\right\|^{2} \\
& \text { - } h_{1}(s)=I_{\left\{\tau_{C}^{\beta} \leq s\right\}}\left\{\phi(s)+\varepsilon^{2} \operatorname{trace}\left[g(s) g^{*}(s)\right]\right\} \\
& \text { - } h_{2}(s) \equiv 1 \\
& \text { - } h_{4}(s)=I_{\left\{\tau_{C}^{\beta} \leq s\right\}} d \\
& \text { - } M_{t}^{\prime}=\widehat{B}_{t}, m^{\prime}(s)=\frac{4\left(\triangle_{s}^{\varepsilon, \beta}, g(s) g^{*}(s) \triangle_{s}^{\varepsilon, \beta}\right)}{\left\|\triangle_{s}^{\varepsilon, \beta}\right\|^{2}} \\
& \text { - } M_{t}^{\prime \prime}=\int_{0}^{\tau_{C}^{\beta} \wedge t} 2 \frac{\left(\triangle_{s}^{\varepsilon, \beta}, d W_{s}\right)}{\left\|\triangle_{s}^{\varepsilon, \beta}\right\|}, m^{\prime \prime}(s) \equiv 4
\end{aligned}
$$

the equation (C.6) is in the form of (C.1). Since $h_{i}(s), i=1, \ldots, 4$ are bounded and $\sqrt{Y}{ }_{t} \equiv\left\|X_{\tau_{C}^{\beta} \wedge t}^{\varepsilon, \beta}-X_{\tau_{C}^{\beta} \wedge t}^{\varepsilon}\right\| \leq\left\|X_{\tau_{C}^{\beta} \wedge t}^{\varepsilon, \beta}\right\|+\left\|X_{\tau_{C}^{\beta} \wedge t}^{\varepsilon}\right\| \leq 2 C$, i.e., (C.2) holds too, the statement of the lemma follows from Proposition C.1.
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